

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,987	08/20/2003	Marybeth Ahern	00240293US	5154
7590 01/14/2009 Andrew M. Calderon Greenblum and Bernstein P.L.,C.			EXAMINER	
			KARDOS, NEIL R	
1950 Roland C Reston, VA 20			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/643,987
 AHERN ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Neil R. Kardos
 3623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) <u>Neil R. Kardos</u>. (3)<u>Andrew Calderon</u>.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2009.

Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: n/a.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

(2) Beth Boswell.

Identification of prior art discussed: Patankar, Pisello.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claim 1 in view of 101 rejection and possible ways to overcome the 101 rejection by adding a particular machine to the claimed invention. Discussed claims in view of prior art rejections – Examiner recommended amending claims to clarify the invention.

(4)Sarah Small.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623

/Beth V. Boswell/